IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65195 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010943982026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-519 Year-2024 Thana- DIGHA District- Patna

==========

Sandeep Kumar @ Sanky @ Sandeep Rai Son of Late Sunil Rai Resident of Jora Kuan, Beur Bichli Gali, P.S.- Beur, District - Patna.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rahul Raj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

3 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under section 137 (2) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the brother-in-law of the informant went missing and, after a few days, his dead body was recovered. It is alleged that, in the present case, altogether two persons were killed and their dead bodies were thrown into the river Ganga.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that the

WEB COPY

name of the petitioner does not find mention in the FIR and that his name has surfaced subsequently in the confessional statements of co-accused Vinay Kumar and Pankaj. It is further submitted that, save and except the confessional statements of the co-accused person, there is no other material connecting the petitioner with the alleged offence. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that, as per the confessional statements of the co-accused persons, it has come that Vinay Kumar and Pankaj had fired upon the deceased. It is also submitted that the aforesaid co-accused, namely, Vinay Kumar and Pankaj, have already been granted bail by a learned Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.03.2026 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 73061 of 2025 and its analogous case. It is contended that the case of the present petitioner stands on a better footing than that of the aforesaid co-accused. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 28.05.2026 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on

WEB COPY

bail in connection with Digha P.S. Case No. 519 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-IX, Patna.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	

WEB COPY