

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64802 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2024 Thana- Manuapul District- West Champaran

Upendra Kumar Son of Bali Raut Resident of Village - Raidhurwa, P.S. -
Manuapul, Dist. - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Shrivastava, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Manuapul P.S.
Case No. 134 of 2024 registered under Sections 103/238(a)/3(5)
of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 06.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 10202 of 2025, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioners and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioners seek bail in
connection with Manuapul P.S. Case No. 134 of
2024 registered under Sections 103/238(a)/3(5)
of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, the
petitioners are the brothers of the deceased and
they are accused of honour killing.*



4. The post-mortem report supports that fact of killing of the deceased.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that there is no material against the petitioners to connect the petitioners with the crime.

6. In the opinion of this Court, if the deceased was not killed by her family members that the family members ought to have informed the police about the unnatural death of the deceased.

7. Considering the facts that the petitioners are none other but the own brothers of the deceased and they are accused of killing the deceased, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.”

4. After investigation, the charge-sheet has been submitted under Sections 103, 238(a) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

5. The petitioner is in custody since 07.11.2024.

6. Considering the period of custody, this application is allowed.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah in connection with Manupul P.S. Case No. 134 of 2024.

8. As a condition of this order, the petitioner, after being released on bail is directed to co-operate in the trial either



by appearing personally or through his lawyer in the trial Court on each and every date fixed in the trial. Noncompliance of the condition will result in cancellation of the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. The certified copy of the charge-sheet is taken on record.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

