

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4434 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1175 Year-2022 Thana- TURKAULIYA District- East
Champaran

=====

Vikram Patel Son of Late Ramchandra Patel Resident of Village - M.S.
Collere Road Chanmari, P.S. - Town Motihar, District - East Champaran
... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Subhash Kumar Satyarthi Son of Rajendra Paswan Resident of Village-
Majurahan, P.S. - Turkauliya, District - East Champaran
... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl. Public Prosecutor
For the R.No. 2	:	Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 02-04-2026 Earlier, the case was referred to mediation center *vide*

order dated 07.11.2025 but as per Mediator's Report dated
12.12.2025 kept at Flag 'K', mediation between the parties has
failed as appellant did not appear for the process of mediation,
on three consecutive dates.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
parties.

3. This criminal appeal has been filed against the
order dated 16.06.2023 passed by learned Special Judge SC/ST
(POA) Act, East Champaran, Motihari in ABP No. 1868 of 2023
arising out of Turkauliya (Raghunathpur) P.S. Case No. 1175 of
2022, registered under Sections 406, 420, 387 of the Indian
Penal Code, Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and



Section 3(1)(r) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, whereby the prayer for anticipatory bail of appellant has been rejected.

4. As per F.I.R., appellant took loan of Rs. 9 lacs from the complainant and when he demanded his money, appellant gave him three cheques which got bounced due to insufficiency of funds. When complainant went to ask about the same to appellant, this appellant abused him by caste name.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant is innocent and has committed no offence. Appellant has falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive. It is not the case of complainant that any member of public was present when the alleged incident occurred and as such, no case under SC/ST Act is made out against the appellant. Appellant claims clean antecedent.

6. However, learned SPP appearing for the State as well as learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail application. Appellant is author of cheques which got bounced due to insufficiency of funds.

7. Considering the nature of accusation, gravity of the offence and conduct of the appellant, I do not find any ground to interfere with the order of the learned court below and as such,



the appeal, which has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail, is
hereby rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

