

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65356 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-400 Year-2025 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

Golu Kumar S/o Harendra Sah Resident of village - Banjhula, P.s.- Chakia,
Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 06-01-2026 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
- 2.** The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 317(5) of BNS and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 430.50 litres of liquor from XUV 500 vehicle.
- 4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Pappu in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.
- 5.** Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 400 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

