IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71815 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010939192026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-130 Year-2025 Thana- Chitragupta Nagar District- Patna

==========

Chandan Kumar S/O Shashikant Kumar R/O Village- Purani Bazar, Near Devi Asthan, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna, Bihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akash Anand, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 304(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent but the order impugned inadvertently records that petitioner has criminal antecedent. It is next submitted that informant alleges that on 28.06.2025 while she was coming back home after picking her children from bus stop in between 2:45-3:00 PM, when two unknown accused came on a motorcycle and snatched her chain.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

WEB COPY

FIR is against unknown and the petitioner came to be implicated during the course of investigation based on CCTV footage wherein the motorcycle used in the occurrence was found to be belonging to the petitioner. It is further submitted that petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence as he is a student and is studying at Delhi University.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the case is of chain snatching and during the course of investigation the motorcycle used in the occurrence has been identified based on CCTV footage and it was found that the same belongs to the petitioner. It is further submitted that even the apprehended accused in his confessional statement has disclosed the name of the petitioner, but then fairly submits that confessional statement in police custody does not have any evidentiary value but since the accused has confessed, as such, the confession requires to be corroborated for which interrogation is necessary. It is also submitted that though a bald plea has been taken that petitioner is a student, but then the same is neither pleaded nor substantiated by any documentary evidence in the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned

WEB COPY

APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. **Accordingly, the application stands rejected.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

rajesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY