

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66509 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-611 Year-2022 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Ashish Kumar @ Ashok Kumar S/o Nawal Kumar Yadav R/o vill- Khiri
Pokhar, Girihinda, PS- Sheikhpura, District- Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Mishra, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Sheikhpura P.S. Case No. 611 of 2022 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 363/302/201/120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 08.11.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 59742 of 2023, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.*

*2. The petitioner is in custody since
06.11.2022 in connection with Sheikhpura P.S.
Case No. 611 of 2022 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 363 /302 /201/*



120(B) /34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. From the statement of the informant, four months old son and the wife of the petitioner went missing for which the petitioner informed them on phone.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to enmity. He further submits that the petitioner has cordial relation with the victims. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. He has submitted that they were staying with the petitioner only and the police has found the hand of the petitioner in their disappearance and the deadbody of the victims have not been recovered.

6. In view of the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant him bail.

7. Accordingly, this application for bail is dismissed.”

4. In the trial, the case is at the stage of defence evidence.

5. Considering the aforesaid, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

