IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64779 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010935812026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-271 Year-2026 Thana- SAHAYAK NAGAR District- Katihar

==========

1. Sunita Kumari @ Sunita Devi W/o- Om Prakash Singh R/o- TV, Centre Katihar, Ps- Sahayak Katihar Dist- Katihar
2. Om Prakash Singh S/o- Hari Nandan Singh R/o- TV, Centre Katihar, Ps- Sahayak Katihar Dist- Katihar
3. Lal Singh @ Nagendra Singh @ Nagendra Kumar Singh S/o- Baleshwar Singh R/v- Jayprakash Nagar Ps- Sahayak Katihar Dist- Katihar
4. Amlesh Kumar Yadav @ Amlesh Kumar S/o- Kashinath Yadav R/v- Khunda Rupashpur Ps- Korha Dist- Katihar
5. Pramod Kumar Yadav @ Pramod Pd. Yadav S/o- Jeevan Yadav R/v- ITI Ps- Sahayak Katihar Dist- Katihar
6. Praveen Singh @ Praveen Kumar Singh S/o- Raja Pratap Singh R/v- Sahja Ps- Mansahi Dist- Katihar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anant Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Asha Kumari,APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 05-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 324(4), 324(5), 126(2), 115(2), 119(1), 303(2), 329(3), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 are persons with clean antecedent

WEB COPY

and petitioner No. 5 has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that on 28.02.2026 at midnight, petitioners along with 30-35 unknown accused came and attempted to take forceful possession of his ancestral land by forcing the tenant to vacate, accordingly informant on coming to know about the occurrence reached the place of occurrence when accused persons assaulted him and snatched his gold chain and Rs. 1,200/- and even damaged electric meter CCTV camera and fled away threatening.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land the occurrence is alleged to have been committed, it is next submitted that date of occurrence is 28.02.2026 and the FIR came to be instituted on 06.03.2026 which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the

WEB COPY

event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sahayak Nagar Katihar P.S. Case No. 271 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY