# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65301 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC010933302026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-308 Year-2026 Thana- RAMPUR District- Gaya

==========

1. Guriya Devi W/O Sanjay Chaudhary Resident of Mohalla- Godawari Road, Kumhar Tola, PS- Rampur, District- Gaya Jee,
2. Sanjay Chaudhary S/O Late Vishun Chaudhary Resident of Mohalla- Godawari Road, Kumhar Tola, PS- Rampur, District- Gaya Jee,
3. Birju Chaudhary S/O Late Vishun Choudhary Resident of Mohalla- Godawari Road, Kumhar Tola, PS- Rampur, District- Gaya Jee,
4. Urmila Devi W/O Birju Chaudhary Resident of Mohalla- Godawari Road, Kumhar Tola, PS- Rampur, District- Gaya Jee,
5. Shibu Choudhary S/O Late Vishun Choudhary Resident of Mohalla- Godawari Road, Kumhar Tola, PS- Rampur, District- Gaya Jee,
6. Somo Devi @ SHOBHA Devi W/O Shibu Choudhary Resident of Mohalla- Godawari Road, Kumhar Tola, PS- Rampur, District- Gaya Jee,

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Md. Ataur Rahman, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA**

ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nayay Sanhita, 2023.

3. The allegation in the F.I.R. is that petitioners along with others are said to have killed the daughter of the informant.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all the petitioners are the aunts-in-law, uncles-in-law and also cousin uncle-in-law, who are actually distant relatives living separately since long and have no connection with the day-to-day affairs of the deceased and her husband and her immediate family. Even the contents of the F.I.R. do not disclose any specific allegation against these petitioners. Rather, they have been implicated in a conspiracy angle in causing the death of the deceased. The F.I.R. itself indicates that it was the husband of the deceased, who had informed about the death of the deceased to the informant and in the later part it has also been stated that it was he who was having some illicit relationship, which became the cause of the death of the deceased after 13 years of marriage. The husband of the deceased is in custody since 02.06.2026. The petitioners, however, undertake to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the informant, however, opposes the grant of anticipatory bail on the ground that these petitioners have also been named in the First Information Report and the postmortem report of the deceased indicates that she was done to death.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and

WEB COPY

circumstances of the case and also considering the general and omnibus nature of allegations against the petitioners, who happen to be distant relatives and further considering that the husband of the deceased, who is primarily responsible for the welfare of the wife, is already in custody, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Rampur P.S. Case No. 308 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors shall be a family member/close relative.

(ii) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation/trial.

**(Soni Shrivastava, J)**

ayush/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY