

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63939 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-474 Year-2016 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

Abhay Panjiyara S/o Sudhir Panjiyara R/o Village- Nagardih, P.S.- Amarpur
(Fullidumar), District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash Dwivedi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 302 and 307
of the Indian Penal Code, Section 27 of the Arms Act as well as
Sections 3 and 4 of the Explosive Substances Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and is in custody since
07.06.2025. It is further submitted that the informant alleges that
petitioner along with named accused persons surrounded his
house and Jagdambi Panjiyar shot the cousin of the informant
who died at the spot and Julmi Panjiyar shot the informant on
hand who sustained two bullet injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant. It is further submitted that petitioner and the informant are agnates and they are having dispute relating to land. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner is not alleged to have fired rather was present at the place of occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and submits that if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond as he has antecedent of two cases under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail in connection with Amarpur (Fullidumar) P.S. Case No. 474 of 2016 pending in the Court of learned 1st Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Banka/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for bail is rejected.

8. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

