

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22341 of 2018

=====

Vidya Sahay @ Vidya Srivastava Wife of Bachchan Ji Sahay @ Babanji Sahay, Resident of Madhu Kunj Apartment, Mohalla- Vijay Nagar, P.S.- Rupaspur, P.O.- B.V. College, Patna- 800014.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur.
4. The Circle Officer, Jagdishpur.
5. The Sub Divisional Magistrate Jagdishpur, Bhojpur.
6. The Officer-Incharge, Jagdishpur, Bhojpur.
7. Mukhiya, Utari Jangal Mahal Panchayat Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam- AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 06-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“I. For directing the respondent to consider the representation dated 25.07.2018 filed by the petitioner before them raising her objection with respect to the misuse of power and public fund by the respondent No-7 in connivance with circle officer Jagdishpur as they are making illegal construction of road and water tank over the



Raiyati Land of petitioner without consent of petitioner.

II. For directing the circle officer Jagdishpur to cancel the Parcha of land issued in favour of some person with respect to the land of petitioner for which no prior notice or consent has been given by the petitioner to circle officer and any other person.

III. For directing the respondents to make an enquiry about the misuse and defalcation of public money or Government fund by the respondent No-4 and 7 as they have been unnecessarily creating third party right with respect to the land of petitioner by giving protection to the encroachers who are trying to grab the land of petitioner and doing illegal wine business over the land of petitioner.

IV. For grant of any other relief or reliefs for which petitioner is entitled in view of the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the writ petition.



4. Permission accorded.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as
withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

