IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64737 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010929612026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-264 Year-2016 Thana- SIRDALA District- Nawada

==========

Bihari Rawani @ Shyam Bihari Chandrabansi S/O Late Shanker Rawani @ Shankar Chandrabanshi @ Bihari Singh R/O Vill- Jhujhapur, P.S- Goh, Distt.- Aurangabad.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Kumari Pallavi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 379, 427, 436, 504, 506 and 387 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act and Sections 15, 18, 19 and 20 of U.A.P. Act.

3. As per written report, Vinod Kumar Yadav sustained injury at the place of occurrence who was proprietor of M/s. Vinod Kumar Yadav Construction Agency. He was constructing Railway Bridge. He informed the police that under the leadership of Praduman Sharma, extremists demanded levy

WEB COPY

earlier in lieu to construction of Railway Bridge and when he saw his inability, extremists burnt four vehicles, assaulted and injured his staff Kailash Yadav and other labourers. He disclosed that in course of assault and burning, the extremists were calling each other with their names. He further disclosed the name of 63 accused persons including the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it is not palpable that accused persons while committing the offence will call each other with their names and it is also not palpable that one may remember the names of 63 persons. The nature of allegations is general and omnibus. It has further been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that similarly situated other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide *Annexure*- P/2 vide Cr. Misc. No. 15383 of 2026. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 04.05.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submits that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of seven cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and

WEB COPY

considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Sirdala P.S. Case No. 264 of 2016 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Nawada with the following conditions :-

(i) the petitioner shall co-operate in the trial;

(ii) he shall remain physically present on each and every date in the learned trial court, whenever required;

(iii) he shall also mark his weekly attendance at Goh P.S. and

(iv) one of the bailors should be near relative of the petitioner.

7. Office is directed to communicate this order to the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY