

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.312 of 2018
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10548 of 2017

Sanjay Kumar Sharma Son of Late Saryug Sharma resident of Village -
Mahmadpur, P.S. - Jehanabad, District Jehanabad - 804408, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Sri Chaitanya Prasad, Principal Secretary, Government Of Bihar, Urban De Name of father not known to the petitioner Principal Secretary, Government of Bihar, Urban Development Department, Patna.
2. The State of Bihar through Shri Alok Ranjan Ghosh, Name of father not known to the petitioner District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. Sri Ramrup Prasad Name of father not known to the petitioner Deputy Development Commissioner, Jehanabad.
4. Sri Rajendra Prasad Singh Name of father not known to the petitioner Officer-in-charge, District Development Section, Vikas Bhawan, Jehanabad.
5. Sri Kishori Prasad Dev Name of father not known to the petitioner Executive Engineer, District Development Authority, Jehanabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Shankar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. GA- 3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

6 12-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for initiation of contempt proceeding
against the contemner opposite parties,
particularly opposite party no. 2 for willful
and deliberate disobedience of Hon'ble
Court's order dated 01.09.2017 passed in
C.W.J.O. No. 10548 of 2017 whereby and
where under while disposing of the writ*



application, the writ petitioner was directed to file a detailed representation to the opposite party no. 2 attaching the order of this Hon'ble Court and the opposite party no. 2 was directed to examine the claim of the petitioner and take decision in accordance with law. If it is found that the petitioner is entitled to the amount, the same will be paid to him without unnecessary delay. The entire exercise should be completed by opposite party no. 2 within a period of three months from the date of filing of representation by the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has become infructuous and as such he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

