

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65651 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-29 Year-2025 Thana- TATARPUR District- Bhagalpur

Sikendra Mandal @ Sikendra Kumar Mandal S/O Naresh Mandal R/O
Mohalla- Rikabganj Naya Tola, P.S.- Tatarpur, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 29-01-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well
as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection
with Tatarpur P.S. Case No. 29 of 2025, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per allegation, the petitioner, husband of the
deceased, used to subject her to mental and physical cruelty. It is
alleged that on 19.02.2025 at about 07:00AM, the petitioner
under the influence of liquor, assaulted the deceased, resulting
into her death and thereafter, he attempted to give it the colour
of suicide by hanging the dead body with the help of others.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. The death of the deceased occurred after 10 years of
the marriage and two years after the birth of two children. He



has further submitted that the allegation of assault is false, as no external injury was found on the dead body. The cause of death was found due to ante-mortem hanging, which shows that the deceased had committed suicide. He has also submitted that the occurrence took place on 19.02.2025 and the inquest was prepared on the same day, but the FIR was lodged on 25.02.2025, even though the post-mortem was conducted on 19.02.2025. The delay in lodging the FIR has been explained by a family member of the deceased himself. In paragraph no. 42 of the case diary, the witness(family member of the deceased) has stated that the parents of the deceased were demanding Rs. 5 lakhs from the petitioner and also sought the landed property of the petitioner be transferred in the name of his minor children. Due to non-compliance with their demand, the petitioner has falsely been implicated. The petitioner has been in custody since 16.07.2025.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above-named, is directed to furnish bail bond and on doing so, the court below shall release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Bhagalpur/concerned Court in connection with Tatarpur P.S. Case No. 29 of 2025, subject to the following conditions that the petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

