

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66520 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2025 Thana- PALIGANJ District- Patna

Vikash Rajwansi @ Vikash Rajwar @ Vikash Kumar S/o Vijay Rajwansi R/o
Village - Bibipur, Sarsi, P.S - Paliganj, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishal Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 10-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126,
115(2), 118, 109, 352 and 3(5) of BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges
that on 27.01.2025, while his father was guarding paddy in his
Khalihan, when petitioner along with co-accused persons came
and tried to commit theft of the paddy crop, on objection, it is
alleged that his father was assaulted by lathi and sword on
account of which he sustained injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the



allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the accused persons including the petitioner has been implicated in the case by the informant. It is also submitted petitioner and the informant are neighbours. It is next submitted that no doubt allegation of assaulting the father of the informant is alleged, but then allegation of assault is not specific. It is further submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the father of the informant has been opined to be simple in nature caused by sharp weapon and thereafter the father of the informant also got treated at PMCH where CT Scan was done and the same recorded multiple fracture in Zygoma, left parietal bone and transverse fracture along the occipital bone.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that the order impugned records about the nature of injury suffered by the father of the informant, but then also describes the injury as recorded in the injury report and from perusal of the same, it would manifest that though the injury has been opined to be simple in nature, but then the same records multiple fracture in Zygoma, left parietal bone and transverse fracture along the



occipital bone, it is next submitted that it appears that the father of the informant was assaulted brutally leading to the injuries. It is further submitted that though allegation of assault is not specific, but then petitioner has antecedent of two cases, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that similarly situated co-accused Vijay Rajwanshi had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 63928 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 23.09.2025, thus, based on parity seeks anticipatory bail, on which the learned APP submits that Vijay Rajwanshi was granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, since he was a person with clean antecedent whereas the instant petitioner is having antecedent of two cases.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

