

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66000 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-22 Year-2024 Thana- DIDARGANJ District- Patna

Raushan Kumar S/o Shyam Narayan Singh @ Chhotan Singh R/o Village-
Punadih, P.S.- Didarganj, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anupam Bahadur, Advocate
		Mr. Barun Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 18-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 457 and
380 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that earlier Mr. Barun Prasad, learned
Advocate was appearing in the case but then he left for his
heavenly aboard, as such, a fresh Vakalatnama has been filed
yesterday i.e. 17.03.2026.

4. It is next submitted that petitioner has antecedent of
one case and the informant alleges that on 21.01.2024 when she
returned home in the morning, she found that all her household
items such as fridge, washing machine etc. had been stolen and



the documents and receipts were burnt. It is further alleged that prior to this a motor was also stolen from her house, thus, based on suspicion alleges that petitioner along with other co-accused might be involved in the occurrence.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that based on suspicion, the petitioner was implicated by the informant. It is next submitted that during the course of investigation, one Sinu Kumar was arrested and his confessional statement was recorded and he disclosed the name of the petitioner along with Haricharan Kumar and one more accused. It is also submitted that confessional statement of an accused in police custody does not have any evidentiary value in the eye of law. It is further submitted that Haricharan Kumar had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No. 78675 of 2024 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 25.11.2024 passed by a learned Coordinate Bench, thus, based on parity, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Didarganj P.S. Case No. 22 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

