

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64844 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2025 Thana- SHYAMPUR BHATHAN District-
Sheohar

=====

Dhirendra Kumar @ Dhirendra Singh @ Dhirendra Kumar Singh S/o Late
Chitranjan Singh Resident of Village - Gaubandhi Parsauni (Imbrahimpur
Parsauni @ Imbahir pur), P.S.- Fenhara, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, Adv. Mr. Rajeev Ranjan No. II, Adv. Ms. Kumari Seema Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. J.N. Thakur, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 21-01-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well
as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection
with Shyampur Bhathan P.S. Case No. 48 of 2025, registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 308(4), 308(5) of the
BNS.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on
14.04.2025, at about 09:30 PM, the informant received calls
from unknown mobile numbers, in which the caller allegedly
abused him, threatened to eliminate him in the same manner as
Subodh Rai, the former Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj
Rohua, and demanded extortion of Rs. 10,00,000/-.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. In his confessional statement, there is nothing against him in the entire case diary. He has further submitted that the mobile from which the ransom was demanded does not belong to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the petitioner has confessed his guilt, disclosing that he demanded ransom by using the mobile set of one Ravi and the video clip of the demanding ransom has become viral. The video clip has been annexed with the case diary. The petitioner has criminal antecedents of five cases.

6. In my view, the petitioner does not deserve the privileges of bail, which is hereby *rejected*.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

