

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65081 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2022 Thana- RAFIGANJ District- Aurangabad

Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Charli S/O Binod Kumar R/O Village-
Charkawa, PS- Rafiganj, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Chaurasia

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Rafiganj PS Case No. 302 of 2022, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 413, 414, 420, 468 and 34 of
IPC.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner had earlier moved before this Court seeking
anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 13761 of 2025 and the
same was allowed by an order dated 12-5-2025 with a condition
that if charge-sheet is submitted connecting the petitioner with
the offence, in that event, the anticipatory bail order shall lose
its effect. Learned counsel next submits that after investigation,
the police submitted charge-sheet, based on which cognizance



came to be taken, but no fresh material transpired during the course of investigation connecting the petitioner with the offence.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner with a condition that if charge-sheet is submitted connecting the petitioner with the offence, in that event, the anticipatory bail order shall lose its effect. It is next submitted that since charge-sheet has been submitted, the petitioner should surrender and seek regular bail as the case relates to theft of motorcycle and name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused.

5. Considering the submission made by learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

