

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3068 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1267 of 2021

=====

Sushil Kumar Singh Son of Late Shatrudhan Kumar Singh, resident of village, P.O. and P.S. Goh, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sri Pankaj Kumar Pal, father's name not known to the petitioner, Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Civil Supplies, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Vinay Kumar, Father's name not known to the petitioner, Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, Khadya-Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path, Patna.
4. Sri Pankaj Kumar Sinha, Father's name not known to the petitioner, Divisional Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Alok Ranjan, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

4 21-01-2026 The present contempt application has been preferred against the order dated 16.11.2021 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 1267 of 2021. Following observations and directions were made by the Writ Court:-



“After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned authority to consider and decide the representation to be filed, within a period of three months from the date of its presentation.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the claim, petition is disposed of with the liberty aforesaid. All issues on facts and law are left open.

Needless to say that while considering such request, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties.

If aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach this Court by way of separate petition(s), if so required and desired.”

2. In pursuance thereof, a final order has already been passed on 18.01.2022 by the Opposite Parties as contained in Annexure-P/3.

3. Since the final order has already been passed in compliance with the directions of the Writ Court, we are of the considered view that no further order is required to be passed in the present application.

4. Accordingly, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand



disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

Sachin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

