

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67107 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-237 Year-2019 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

=====

Ranjan Kumar S/o Raj Kumar Ram R/o Village- Fatehpur Chauthai, P.S.-
Mahua, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Manoj Ram S/o Ram Pravesh Ram R/o- Ajmatpur Parti, P.S.- Rajapakar,
Baranti O.P., Post- Bidupur R.S., District- Vaishali

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh. I

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

7 16-01-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant
of regular bail in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 237 of
2019 registered under sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code and sections 4 and 8 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the dead body of the
minor daughter of the informant was found hidden in the house
of the petitioner.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide
order dated 18.1.2021 (Annexure-1) passed in Cr. Misc. no.
35690 of 2020. In spite of the petitioner being in custody since



18.9.2019 and cooperating in the trial, the trial has still not concluded nor is there any chance of the same concluding in the near future. As such it is prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on bail on any condition which may be laid by this Court.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 that the dead body of the minor daughter of the informant was found in the house of the petitioner. So far as the stage of the case is concerned, the examination of the prosecution witnesses is over and the final report/opinion of the Civil Surgeon has also been received in the learned Court below.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per report received contained in letter dated 17.10.2025, the case was fixed for final arguments. In course of final argument it transpired that the final opinion of the actual cause of death of the deceased is not mentioned in the postmortem report and as such the same was asked for from the Civil Surgeon, Vaishali. It further transpires that the final opinion regarding the cause of death has been provided by the Medial Officer, Sadar Hospital to the learned trial Court by his letter dated 28.11.2025.



7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner, the progress in the trial in the learned trial Court with the examination of prosecution witnesses being over and the final opinion of the doctor with respect to death of the minor daughter of the informant having been received, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash

U		T	
---	--	---	--

