

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65700 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2214 Year-2024 Thana- East Champaran Complaint District-
East Champaran

=====

Mohammad Raja S/o Mohammad Wajul @ Mohammad Wajoul R/o Village -
Hasan Nagar Ward No.- 2, Virta Chowk, P.S - Ghorasahan, District - East
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Fiza Praveen D/o Aktar Ali R/o Village - Miscot Ramna Ward No.- 21, P.S
- Town Motihari, District - East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Prateek Tandon
For the State	:	Ms.Rita Verma
For the O. P. No. 2	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar No. III

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

- | | | |
|---|------------|--|
| 7 | 24-02-2026 | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel
for the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2 and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No. C 2214 of 2024, in which
cognizance has been taken by the learned District Court
for the offences punishable under Section 498-A of the
Indian Penal Code.3. The prosecution case, as per the complaint petition, is that
the marriage of the Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized
with the petitioner on 04.11.2022 and after sometime, the
petitioner and other family members started demanding
Bolero vehicle by way of dowry and due to non-
fulfillment of the said demand, the petitioner and other |
|---|------------|--|



family members tortured the Opposite Party No. 2 physically as well as mentally and also ousted the Opposite Party No. 2 from her matrimonial home.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner, and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 as living cost, subject to the final outcome of the present case as well as the matrimonial case, if any, pending and/or decided between the parties.
5. Learned Counsel for the informant-Opposite Party No. 2 accepts the offer so made by learned Counsel for the petitioner and submits that the Opposite Party No. 2 is on the verge of starvation and the petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of the informant-Opposite Party No. 2, details of which shall be furnished by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 to learned Counsel for the petitioner within fifteen days from today.



6. After having heard learned Counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the offer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner has been accepted by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.
7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.
8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran, at Motihari, in connection with Complaint Case No. C 2214 of 2014.
9. This is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of Opposite Party No. 2 positively, starting from 07th March, 2026.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

