IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64404 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010905072026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2025 Thana- PARBATTA District- Khagaria

==========

Lalita Devi W/o Manoj Yadav R/o Village - Parbatta, Ward No. 14, PS - Parbatta, District -Khagaria

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aditya Pandey, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 20-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State and perused the status report submitted by the learned trial Court.

2. This is the third attempt made by the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Parbatta P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025 corresponding to N.D.P.S. Spl. Case No. 07 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act and Section 21(c) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. Earlier, the bail applications of the petitioner were rejected by this Court vide orders dated 03.09.2025 and 24.04.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 36585 of 2025 and Cr. Misc. No. 13045 of 2026 respectively.

WEB COPY

4. As per the prosecution story, total 10.875 litres of foreign liquor and 128 bottles of codeine cough syrup was recovered beneath the bed in the house of the petitioner and the co-accused, Manoj Yadav. The petitioner was apprehended when she was trying to flee away from the house.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is the wife of the co-accused, Manoj Yadav and she has been apprehended only on the basis of suspicion. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is a lady having no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel further submits that the husband of the petitioner, Manoj Yadav and the other co-accused persons have also been granted regular bail by different Co-ordinate Benches of this Court (Annexure 3). Learned counsel also submits that vide order dated 24.04.2026, this Court has directed the learned trial Court to expedite the disposal of the trial of the case preferably within four months from the date of passing of that order. However, there is no progress in the trial. The petitioner is in custody since 22.03.2025 and she undertakes to co-operate in the trial.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State conceded the fact that

WEB COPY

other co-accused persons have been granted bail by the Co-ordinate Benches of this Court and there is no progress in the trial.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and the facts and circumstances of the case, fair criminal antecedent of the petitioner, period of custody so also the fact that the other co-accused persons have been granted regular bail, the petitioner above-named is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, in connection with Parbatta P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025 corresponding to N.D.P.S. Spl. Case No. 07 of 2025 with a condition:-

(i) That the petitioner shall remain physically present before the learned trial Court on each and every date when required, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

8. The application, hereby, stands allowed.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Smriti/-

U		T	

WEB COPY