IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66163 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010903172026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-280 Year-2026 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Patna

==========

Shivam Kumar Son of Om Prakash Gulsan Resident of Sri Sai Niwas, Road No. 11, Mahaveer Colony, P.S.- Beur, District- Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Cyber Crime Security Unit, through S.P. Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Md Fazle Karim, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP
For the CCSU	:	Mr. V.N.P. Sinha, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Vijay Anand, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

4 04-11-2026 Heard Md Fazle Karim, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. V.N.P. Sinha, learned counsel for the Cyber Cell and Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey,learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 28.02.2026 in connection with Patna Cyber P.S. Case No. 280 of 2026, FIR dated 27.02.2026 registered for the offence under Section 318(4), 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2) and 338 of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and Section 66(c) and 66 (D) of the IT Act.

3. The prosecution story in brief is that on the basis of NCRP report no. 32910250065218, it revealed that Total Rs.

WEB COPY

1,52,000,00/- transaction took place from Account No : 20100030370551 of Shivam Kumar (petitioner) and total 21 complaints found on NCRP from different states for this account. Then the petitioner was brought to police station for inquiry where he disclosed that Total Rs 1,52,000,00/- came in his account and debited from 27 July 2025 to 7-8 August 2025.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been made accused in this case and petitioner had no knowledge about the aforesaid transaction and he has lodged the complaint before the Cyber police on 20.08.2025 and 07.09.2025. He next submits that the bank account of the petitioner was hacked by some unknown cyber criminal so he has no knowledge about the credit and debit of such huge amount of money in his account and the petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 28.02.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the Cyber Cell have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it is apparent fact that altogether Rs. 1,52,000,00/- was debited in the account of the petitioner and apart from that earlier 21 cases have been lodged against the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Patna Cyber P.S. Case No. 280 of 2026, pending in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patna.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY