IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62303 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010902012026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-171 Year-2025 Thana- ARA NAGAR District- Bhojpur

==========

Sooraj Singh @ Suraj Kumar Singh, Son of Ajay Singh Resident of Village - Parshurampur, P.S. - Sinha, District - Bhojpur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma- Sr. Advocate
Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh
For the State : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad- A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 06-11-2026 1. Heard, Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, the learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Ara Town P. S. Case No.171 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 310(2) and 111(2) of the BNS.

3. The learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had earlier moved this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.61500 of 2025 and the same came to be rejected by an order dated 30.01.2026. The learned senior counsel next submits that similarly situated co-accused had approached this Court seeking regular bail by filing Cr.

WEB COPY

Misc. No.62695 of 2025 (Pritam Kumar @ Chhota @ Chhotu vs. the State of Bihar), Cr. Misc. No.61615 of 2026 (Niku Kumar @ Rudra Pratap Singh vs. the State of Bihar), Cr. Misc. No.62001 of 2026 (Suraj Tiwari vs. the State of Bihar), Cr. Misc. No.29296 of 2026 (Himanshu Kumar vs. the State of Bihar) and Cr. Misc. No.84107 of 2025 (Sunny Kumar @ Sanni Kumar vs. the State of Bihar) and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 22.01.2026, 01.10.2026, 01.10.2026, 28.07.2026 and 25.03.2026 respectively passed by learned Co-ordinate Benches, as such, the learned senior counsel submits that petitioner also be granted the same privilege.

4. Learned A.P.P. Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad vehemently opposes the regular bail application and submits that it is a case of committing dacoity in a jewellery shop namely Tanishq. It is further submitted that some of the co-accused have been granted the privilege of regular bail by learned Coordinate Benches, but then from perusal of the order granting regular bail, it would manifest that the order dated 30.01.2026 in Cr. Misc. No.61500 of 2025 was not brought to the notice of the learned Coordinate Bench. It is next submitted that from perusal of the pleadings made at Para-16 of the regular bail application, it would manifest that the same records that the

WEB COPY

trial is in progress and out of 25 witnesses, 21 witnesses have been examined. It is next submitted that instant regular bail application was filed on 22.08.2026. It is thus submitted that by now the trial must be on verge of conclusion.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into Consideration the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on regular bail.

6. The prayer of the petitioner for regular bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	

WEB COPY