

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62563 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2025 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

Raju Kumar, S/o Suresh Sardar, Resident of Village- Charnai, Ward No 07,  
PS- Rajeshwari (Tribeniganj), District- Supaul, State- Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. [REDACTED], R/o vill -  
Gonha, Ward no. 5, P.S.- Triveniganj, Distt.- Supaul, Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kuldeep Kumar, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      13-01-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with  
Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025 registered for the offence  
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 96, 352, 351(2) and 3(5)  
of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the  
daughter of the informant aged about 17 ½ years was missing. The  
informant came to know that the victim has been kidnapped by the  
petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner  
has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no  
offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. During course



of investigation, the victim was recovered and she has given her statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. wherein she has stated that she has solemnized marriage with Kush Sardar and she has categorically stated that nobody has kidnapped her. She has gone with her own will. It has further been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the victim has not named the petitioner in her statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. rather all the allegations are against one Kush Sardar. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 04.05.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. 1<sup>st</sup>, Supaul in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 222 of 2025.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

