IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61275 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010890042026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2026 Thana- PARSABAZAR District- Patna

==========

Sujeet Kumar @ Sonu S/o- Amrendra Paswan @ Lotan Paswan R/v- Fatehpur Dibra PS- Parsa Bazar District- Patna At Present resident of Ganjpar PS- Parsa Bazar, District-Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

4 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 25(1-B)(a)/26 of the Arms Act.

3. As per FIR, on the instance of the petitioner, police recovered one country made pistol from an abandoned house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been made an accused in the present case on the basis of his confessional statement recorded in Patrakar Nagar PS Case No. 05 of 2026. However, it is pointed out that he has already been granted bail in the said case. It has been stated that at his instance, some firearms were recovered from an

WEB COPY

abandoned house in an orchard. As a matter of fact, the place of recovery is an open place and it is only after recovery that the petitioner has been made to confess in the said terms, as such, it would not be a confession leading to recovery nor it would be considered as a recovery made from the personal or conscious possession of the petitioner. It is submitted that although charges have been framed but the examination of witnesses has not begun, as such, there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future. Petitioner is in custody since 20.02.2026 and has no criminal antecedent of similar nature.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail on the ground that the petitioner has two criminal antecedents. However, in response, it has been submitted that one of the cases is connected with the present case, while second one is a complaint case.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that the petitioner has remained in custody since 20.02.2026 and there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future, let the above named petitioner, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where

WEB COPY

the case is pending/successor court in connection with Parsa Bazar P.S. Case No. 26 of 2026, subject to the conditions that:

(I) One of the bailors would be the family member or relative.

(II) The petitioner would appear physically on each and every date in the learned court below and would cooperate in the conclusion of trial and if the petitioner does not appear on two consecutive dates without any substantial and satisfactory reason, the learned court below would be at liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	

WEB COPY