

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66186 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2017 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - BARH District-
Patna

=====

Satish Singh S/O Late Ajay Narayan Singh R/O Village- Bichali Malahi,
Police Station- Barh, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Yadunandan Paswan S/O Late Anirudh Paswan R/O Village- Bichali Malahi,
South of Kaliasthan, Police Station- Barh, District- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 24-02-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail,
arises out of Complaint Case No. 89 of 2017, disclosing
offences under Sections 323 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation, the complainant purchased a
land from the petitioner in the year 2013 through a registered
sale deed for a consideration of Rs. 3,13,000/-. It is further
alleged that thereafter the petitioner started demanding an
additional sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- in respect of the said land.
Upon the complainant's refusal to pay the additional amount,
the petitioner came to the complainant's house, abused him, and
forcibly took away Rs. 15,000/- in cash and a gold chain



belonging to his wife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated due to a land dispute between the parties. The land in question had already been sold to the complainant by a registered sale deed dated 18.11.2015, and the complainant has subsequently transferred the same to Smt. Priya Kumari on 19.05.2023. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner had no knowledge of the institution of the case, when he came to know about it, promptly filed the present application for anticipatory bail.

5. Regards being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation and the fact that land dispute is there between the parties, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. This application is, accordingly, allowed.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV,



Barh, (Patna), in connection with Complaint Case No. 89 of 2017, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

