IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13609 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010889112026

==========

Md. Tufail Ahmad S/o Late Md. Yonus Ahmad, resident of Village-Kansua, P.O.-Ratna Bazar, P.S.- Shakurabad, District-Jehanabad.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayati Raj, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayat Raj, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Magadh Pramandal, At-Gayaji.
4. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner cum Chief Executive Officer, Jehanabad.
6. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Jehanabad.
7. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Jehanabad.
8. The Block Development Officer, Ratni Faridpur, Jehanabad.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Kansua, P.S.-Ratni Faridpur, District-Jehanabad.
10. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj Kansua P.S.-Ratni Faridpur, District-Jehanabad.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rama Kant Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. S.D.Sanjay, AG
		Mr. G.R. Shahi, AC to AG
For the Resp. No. 10	:	Mr. Uday Narayan Singh, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

WEB COPY

3 27-10-2026 This petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

> *"1. That the present Public Interest Litigation is being filed on behalf of the above named petitioner who is representative of the abovesaid Gram Panchayat/Village for issuance of an appropriate order/orders, direction (s) to the respondent authorities to take action against of those who had/has involved in financial and administrative irregularities/embezzlement in implementation of yojan in the financial year 2022-23, 2023-24 and 2024-25 under Panchayat Samiti of Gram Panchayat Raj Kansua under Ratni Faridpur/Block in the District of Jehanabad and accordingly punish them.*
>
> *And/or for any other (s) appropriate relief (s) to the petitioner for which maybe found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case."*

2. At the outset, Mr. S.D. Sanjay, learned Advocate General takes a preliminary objection that the prayers made in the writ petition are very vague and in that sense the prayers cannot be granted.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. S.D. Sanjay, learned Advocate General, we deem it appropriate to direct that the District Magistrate, Jehanabad shall consider the writ petition and the documents as representation on behalf of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of

WEB COPY

receipt/production of a copy of this order and communicate the decision to the petitioner.

4. The writ petition is disposed of.

(V. Kameswar Rao, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

shruti/ranjan-

U			

WEB COPY