

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60396 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-472 Year-2024 Thana- BARUN District- Aurangabad

Surendra Paswan @ Surendra Ram S/o- Late Dashrath Ram R/o- Dhanauti,
P.S. Barun, Dist- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Virendra Kumar S/o Jainandan Paswan, R/o Vill- Dhanauti, P.O. - Jai Govind Nagar (Makhra) P.S.- Barun, Distt- Aurangabad, Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aman Vishal, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

- 5 16-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 74, 109, 303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case but then the case was settled by the learned Lok Adalat. It is further submitted that petitioner had earlier moved before this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No. 14314 of 2025 and the petitioner was granted the provisional anticipatory bail by an order dated 13.05.2025 with a condition that if it is found that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be



grievous, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail bonds shall be cancelled. It is next submitted that petitioner was enlarged on provisional anticipatory bail but the learned trial court after calling the injury report cancelled the provisional anticipatory bail bonds of the petitioner as the injury was opined to be grievous. It is also submitted that petitioner is in custody since 27.06.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted. It is submitted that petitioner will cooperate in the trial. It is further submitted that though notices were validly served on the opposite party no. 2 but the opposite party no. 2 despite receiving notice chooses not to appear and contest the case.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions aforesaid, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending in connection with Barun P.S. Case No. 472 of 2024.

6. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release on bail is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is



trying to delay the trial in any manner in both the conditions the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

