IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62483 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010882672026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-262 Year-2026 Thana- BAKHARI District- Begusarai

==========

Girish Kumar @ Girees Kumar Son of Ram Uday Rajak Resident of Village- Akaha, P.S.- Bakhri, District- Begusarai (Bihar).

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Y Son of -Z Resident of Village- Akaha, P.S.- Bakhri, District- Begusarai (Bihar).

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivam Prerna, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Gulnar Begum, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 27-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State, Ms. Gulnar Begum.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 77, 64, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under Section 4 of POCSO Act and 66(E) of IT Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that Sintu is his neighbor, further his minor daughter aged about 15 years was taking bath in her courtyard (*Aangan*), when Sintu clicked her photographs while bathing and started blackmailing his daughter after showing the photographs and even acted

WEB COPY

inappropriately with her. It is next alleged that the obscene photo was made viral in which Lalan was also seen, hence on seeing the viral picture of his daughter, he went to the house of accused, where five named accused persons including the petitioner were present who abused and viral the pictures from their mobiles.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant being friend of Sintu. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that thrust of the allegation is against Sintu. It is further submitted that informant alleges that Sintu made video of his daughter while she was bathing and thereafter started blackmailing her. It is also submitted that since petitioner being friend of Sintu, supported Sintu, hence he came to be implicated in the instant case.

5. Learned A.P.P., Ms. Gulnar Begum for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that during the course of investigation it transpired that friends of Sintu were demanding from Sintu that they also intended to ravish the victim and when Sintu refused, his friends slyly stole the video from his mobile and made it viral. It is next

WEB COPY

submitted that investigation in the case is continuing and petitioner has antecedent of one case and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner may abscond. It is also submitted that if petitioner is innocent, police after investigation shall submit final form exonerating the petitioner of the allegation.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. **Accordingly, the application stands rejected.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

rajesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY