**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62725 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC010879582026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2026 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhojpur

==========

Manoj Ram @ Shashibhushan Ram @ Manoj Kumar S/O Ram Avatar @ Ram Avatar Ram R/O Baulipur, P.S.- Jagdishpur, Distt.- Bhojpur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shiv Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

6 28-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Jagdispur P.S. Case No. 16 of 2026, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on 08.01.2026 at about 8:45 P.M., the informant and his brother heard gunshots and, upon reaching the road, found their father lying injured with bullet wounds to his abdomen and back. It is further alleged that the informant saw the petitioner fleeing with 3–4 persons and suspected that his father was murdered due to a longstanding civil dispute with the accused persons.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged against him. It is next submitted that no specific allegation of firing has been attributed against the petitioner, rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that due to earlier civil dispute, the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case by the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 10.04.2026 and has got two criminal antecedents as disclosed in paragraph no. 2 of the supplementary affidavit.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is also submitted that the petitioner is named in the FIR and specific allegation has been attributed against him of firing upon informant’s father. It is next submitted that witnesses have supported the prosecution case in paragraph nos. 18, 19, 20 and 21 of the case diary. It is further submitted that on perusal of paragraph no. 170 of the case diary, it transpires that the petitioner has confessed

WEB COPY

his guilt of committing murder of informant's father by firing upon him and the same is corroborated by the post-mortem report. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, confessional statement of the petitioner, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Rajorshi/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY