

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61921 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2025 Thana- KOTWA District- East Champaran

Pappu Giri @ Vidya Bhushan Giri S/o Late Vinod Giri Resident of Pratap
Mathiya, P.S.- Sangrampur, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mrs.Nirmala Kumari, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 28-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 103(1), 238(a), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per F.I.R., son of informant namely Shyamakant Giri (deceased) was working in the orchestra party of this petitioner, where this petitioner had solemnized the marriage of son of informant with Neetu Kumari, who was also working in the orchestra party of this petitioner. It is further alleged that for the last 5-6 months, the son of informant did not come to his house, thereafter, the informant went to house of this petitioner and enquired about her son, then this petitioner and Neetu



Kumari did not give any reply and stated that they have no knowledge about Shyamakant Giri. The accused persons also did not allow the informant to meet her grand-son. Informant believes that this petitioner and co-accused Neetu Giri have committed murder of her son and disappeared his dead-body.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from bare perusal of the F.I.R., it is apparent that informant is not an eye-witness to the occurrence and only suspicion has been raised against petitioner and co-accused Neetu Giri. During investigation, no cogent material has been collected to show complicity of this petitioner in the alleged occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail petition.

6. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case as well as material available on record, this Court is inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to this petitioner and accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is allowed. Let the above named petitioner, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, East Champaran, Motihari



in connection with Kotwa P.S. Case No. 179 of 2025, subject to
condition as laid down under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik
Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

