

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67202 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-263 Year-2025 Thana- GORAUL District- Vaishali

- 
1. Mantu Ram @ Mantu Kumar S/o Dukhan Ram @ Dukhan Kumar R/o Village - Chehra Khurd, P.S - Katahra Goraul, District - Vaishali
  2. Tuntun Ram @ Tuntun Kumar S/o Dukhan Ram @ Dukhan Kumar R/o Village - Chehra Khurd, P.S - Katahra Goraul, District - Vaishali
  3. Dhukhan Ram @ Dhukhan Kumar S/o Late Doman Ram R/o Village - Chehra Khurd, P.S - Katahra Goraul, District - Vaishali
  4. Vivek Ram @ Vivek Kumar S/o Late Upendra Ram R/o Village - Chehra Khurd, P.S - Katahra Goraul, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Jyoti Kumari  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sucheta Yadav  
Ms.Shabina Talat

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      29-04-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 352, 351(2) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused persons including the petitioners



came variously armed and started abusing, on protest Mantu assaulted him by spade, but the blow hit his son in-law Suraj causing injury on head, who tried to save the informant, thereafter Mantu again assaulted the informant by spade causing injury on rib thereafter Vivek assaulted Krishna with lathi injuring him while Tuntun acted inappropriately with his wife and snatched her mangalsutra. Further, Dukhan assaulted Sachin by rod causing injury on knee and Vivek assaulted Deepak with lathi causing injury and also assaulted Mahesh and Mantu snatched chain of informant. It is next alleged that Tuntun and Mantu demanded extortion of Rs.5 Lacs from him and Sachin as they are criminals.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no reason for the occurrence is alleged. It is further submitted that it does not appear probable that accused persons without any reason would have gone to the house of the informant for committing the occurrence of assault and demanding extortion. It is also submitted that for reasons best known the informant has concealed a relevant fact that petitioners are his neighbours



and are not criminals but then it is alleged that Tuntun and Mantu were demanding extortion of Rs.5 Lacs as they are criminals. It is further submitted that on account of dispute relating to passage an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other and the injury suffered by the side of the informant has been opined to be simple in nature which amply demonstrates that accused persons never had any intention of committing a serious occurrence. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application, but then the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that no reason for the occurrence is alleged, the petitioners are neighbours of the informant and are having dispute relating to passage and the injury has been opined to be simple and petitioners are not criminals.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on



anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Goraul (Katahara O.P.) P. S. Case No.263 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

