

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13607 of 2025

=====

Birendra Kumar Singh Son of Ramraj Mehta Resident of Village-Chandauli,
Police Station-Madanpur, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar represented through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home (Police), Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police Bihar, Patna
3. The Inspector General of Police Patna
4. The Additional Director General of Police (Hq.) Bihar, Patna.
5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Bihar Police Head Quarter Patna
6. The Senior Superintendent of Police Patna.
7. The Commandant, Bihar Special Armed Police-2 Dehari.
8. The Superintendent of Police Kaimur (Bhabhua).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mrs. Prakritita Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. M.N.H. Khan, SC- 01
		Mrs. Babita Kumari, AC to SC- 01

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 30-06-2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

the petitioner has filed the present writ petition for the following

reliefs:

*“i. For issuance of appropriate
Writ/Writs, direction/directions in the nature
of Mandamus or any other appropriate
Writs, Order or Orders for directing the*



concerned respondents to consider the past service rendered in the Department of Police as Sepoy from 06.04.2002 to 11.04.2013 by the petitioner for the purpose of extending the pensionary benefits and accordingly, make entry of the same in the Service Book of the petitioner.

ii. For any other relief/reliefs which the Hon'ble court may grant in the interest of the petitioner that may be deemed appropriate and necessary in this case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has filed a representation before the various authorities for redressal of his grievances, but till date no decision has been taken on his representation. Counsel further submits that petitioner is admittedly working at present on the post of Police Sub-Inspector.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that direction may be given for disposal of the representation of the petitioner within a fixed time frame.

5. Under the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to file a fresh representation before respondent No. 3, namely, the Inspector General of Police, Patna, along with a copy of this order, within 30 days from today. Upon receipt of the representation, the respondent No. 3, namely, the Inspector



General of Police, Patna, is directed to take a decision on the said representation within 90 days from the date of its filing, with a reasoned and speaking order.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Rakesh/Ashwini

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	/..../2026
Transmission Date	NA

