

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64135 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2024 Thana- NAVINAGAR District- Aurangabad

Vishwajeet Singh @ Vishu S/o Arbind Singh @ Arvind Singh R/o Village -
Khani Doura, P.S - Durgawati, District - Kaimur (Bihar) At present - House
No. - 525/1, Bhojuvir, Sarsuli, Varanasi, Dawat Family Restorent, P.S - Kant,
District - Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhitabh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 16-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and perused

the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Nabinagar (Badem O.P.) P.S. Case No. 03 of 2024, instituted for

the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 377, 376, 323,

379, 341 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 3 and 4 of the

Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner

was married to the informant and sexually abused her in an

unnatural manner from the next day of their marriage, she was

also sexually abused by her in-laws and friends of her husband



after making her unconscious by providing intoxication in the milk. On protest, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons demanded a car as dowry and then only they will allow her to go with her mother and father. It is further alleged that the petitioner has threatened to kill her family members.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is husband of the informant and the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The informant has also alleged that she was being thrown from the roof but the informant was subjected to medical examination and no apparent injury was found on her body. The petitioner is in custody since 07.04.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 19.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 66024 of 2024.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation levelled against the petitioner. It is next submitted that the



informant has stated about the forcefully establishment of physical sexual relations by the petitioner and others. Learned counsel for the informant further submits that the trial is in progress and all the charge-sheeted witnesses have been examined in this case. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, present stage of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

