

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18029 of 2015

-
1. MIR AHSAN MABOOD Son of the Shams Tabareiz R/o vill. - Bithwan, P.O. Babhanaul, P.S. Dawath, Distt. - Rohtas Bihar
 2. Mir Hassan Mabood S/o late Mir. Fazle Mabood @ Mir Fazle Maboob
 3. Mir Hafizullah S/o Mir Abbul Lais (Co- sharer of in the branch of Mir Abdul Gafur) All are resident of Village Bithwan, P.O. Abhanaul, P.s.- Dawath, District- Rohtas Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Chairman Bihar Land Tribunal
2. Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna
3. The Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Bikramganj, Rohtas
5. Sheikh Leyakat Ali Son of Late Shikh Israrun Nabi
6. Sadaquat Ali Suddique Son of Late Sheikh Israrur Nabi
7. Sharafat Ali Siddique Son of Late Sheikh Israrun Nabi
8. Shaukat Ali Siddique Son of Late Sheikh Israrun Nabi Respondents No. 5 to 8 are Resident of village - Bithwan, P.O. Babhanaul, P.S. Dawath, District - Rohtas Bihar
9. Mir Sohal Ahmad Son of Late Mir. Samad Resident of village - Bithwan, P.O. Abhanaul, P.S. Dawath, District - Rohtas Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ajay- GA-12
For respondent no. 5 to 8 : Mr. Rajni Kant Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 09-02-2026 No one appears on behalf of the petitioners.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

(i) against the order dated 24.09.2014
Passed by The Chairman, Bihar Land
Tribunal, Patna in Case No. 707 of 2013.
The Chairman, Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna,



was pleased to affirm the order dt. 25.07.13 passed in Land Ceiling Revision Case No.248 of the learned Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna by which the learned Commissioner was pleased to affirm the Order dated 07.01.2007 passed by the learned Collector, Rohtas in Land Ceiling Appeal No. 21 of 2005-06 as well as order passed by the learned Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Bikramganj in Land Ceiling Case No. 06 of 2004 dated 1309.2005 under section 16(3) of Ceiling Act.

3. The State and respondent nos. 5 to 8 is appearing.

4. It has been informed by learned counsel for the respondent that it is a pre-emption matter and with the passage of time and the new law, it has become infructuous.

5. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

