IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61533 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010867842026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-379 Year-2026 Thana- RAMKRISHNANAGAR District- Patna

==========

Madhu Kumari W/O Arvind Kumar R/O Mohalla- C-17, Krishinagar, Ashiyana Nagar, A.G. Colony, PS- Shastrinagar, Distt- Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pintu Kumar S/O Kashinath Shah R/V- Raipur Chatishgarh, PS- Kabi Nagar, Distt- Raipur

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending her arrest in connection with Ramkrishna Nagar P.S. Case No. 379 of 2026 instituted for the offence under Sections 316(4), 318 (4) of the BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that on business relationship between the parties and it is alleged that two trucks of iron was sent to the petitioner who happens to be the wife of one Arvind Kumar and his partner as well. It is alleged that she has to send rupees 40,00,000/- through RTGS to the informant which was not sent through RTGS and it is

WEB COPY

alleged that the iron which was loaded on both the trucks was kept by the petitioner and others.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that though there is allegation that two trucks were sent but only one truck was sent and allegation of assault and forcefully taking the second truck in possession is forged and fabricated. It has further been submitted that the informant is already owning rupees 8,00,000/- of the petitioner. It has further been submitted that all transitions were made with the husband of the petitioner. It has further been submitted that in trial court where the husband of the petitioner's bail was pressed, the informant has agreed before the court that they have compromised the matter for the purposes of bail. Moreover, the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner and have submitted that on being asked here as well, the learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the parties have compromised the matter for the purposes of

WEB COPY

bail. However, it was urged by him that the matter may be sent to the mediation center for settling the dispute.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with a condition that the parties shall settle their matter through mediation center before the learned trial court, Patna.** The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks and in the event of her arrest or surrender in connection with Ramkrishna Nagar P.S. Case No. 379 of 2026, she will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of learned J.M.F.C. XV, Patna subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sneha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY