IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65367 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010864972026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-154 Year-2026 Thana- BABUBARHI District- Madhubani

==========

Juli Kumari @ Jooli D/o Suresh Kumar Ray R/o Village- Hanuman Nagar, PS- Khajauli, Dist- Madhubani

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Satyendra Ram PU Upadhyaksha cum Sahayak Janch Karta Shikshak Niyojan Janch Prabhari Madhubani Zila Nigrani Anweshan Bureau, Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Indradeo Yadav, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Lal, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with Babubarhi P.S. Case No. 154 of 2026 dated 25.03.2026 registered for the offence punishable under Section/s 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner has got her appointment as a *Niyojit* teacher on the basis of forged document.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the instant case. It is next submitted that on the basis of an educational certificate obtained from a recognized institute and

WEB COPY

duly verified by the Directorate of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra, Pune, which found the certificate to be genuine, the petitioner was appointed on the post of Block Physical Teacher in the year 2010 and continued to serve in the said post until the registration of the instant F.I.R.

5. It is the case of the petitioner that despite the official verification of the certificate, the document, in question, has subsequently been alleged to be forged and the petitioner was terminated from service without conducting any enquiry and, over and above, the F.I.R. is said to have been lodged without due consideration of the fact that the petitioner had already been terminated from service and the issue of the document being forged is subject matter of consideration before the pending criminal case. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner is a lady of clean antecedent and is ready to cooperate in the investigation.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the F.I.R. is said to have been lodged without considering the fact that the petitioner had already been terminated from service and the issue of the document being

WEB COPY

forged is subject matter of consideration before the pending criminal case and, as also, the fact that petitioner is a lady of clean antecedent, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be released on anticipatory bail in the event of herarrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Madhubani in connection with Babubarhi P.S. Case No. 154 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner who shall provide official document / personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of her bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing

WEB COPY

which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY