

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59352 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-605 Year-2022 Thana- RAMPUR District- Gaya

Vijay Kumar S/O Late Jivan Lal Yadav @ Ram Jivan Yadav R/O Dujra Purvi
Gali, P.S.- Budha Colony, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Patna
2. Manorma Devi W/o Late Bindeshwari Prasad Yadav vill 51 A P Colony P S
Rampur Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Raghav Prasad, Advocate Mrs. Urmila Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Jitendra Kumar Singh,APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate Ms. Shrishti Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

9 17-04-2026 Heard Mr. Raghav Prasad, learned counsel for the

petitioner duly assisted by Mrs. Urmila Kumari, Mr. Pranav
Kumar duly assisted by Ms. Shrishti Singh for the informant
beside Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection
with Rampur P.S. Case No. 605 of 2022 for the offences
punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 120(B), 419 of
the Indian Penal Code, lodged on 06.11.2022 by the informant,
Manorama Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that she had an agreement with the petitioner for purchase of



land for consideration amount of Rs. 1,15,00,000/- and advance amount of total Rs. 51,00,000/- was/were paid to the petitioner. However, later, it came to the notice of the informant that the land belongs to the Housing Board and as such, she demanded money which was not provided which led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no such transaction took place and on the basis of wrong allegation, FIR has been lodged. The petitioner never received a single penny from the informant.

5. The informant has appeared and Mr. Pranav Kumar has taken this Court to the account of the AXIS bank to show that this petitioner alongwith Dhiraj Singh were operating the account and on 08.09.2022, the informant has transferred Rs.21,00,000/- in the said account.

6. The submission is that not only the petitioner played fraud upon the informant, despite the FIR lodged vide Rampur P.S. Case No. 605 of 2022 on 06.11.2022, he went ahead and after six months, executed sale-deed for the same land for a consideration amount of Rs.53,33,000/-. The said document is part of the supplementary affidavit filed by the informant (wrongly stated as petitioner).

7. Having heard the parties, this Court has taken note



of the fact that despite the provisional bail granted to the petitioner on 03.07.2024, he chose to remain outside the purview of judicial net and surrendered only on 19.05.2025(after ten months).

8. This Court has also taken note of the *modus operandi* of the petitioner in getting money from one person and then executing the sale-deed to another person. Rs.21,00,000/- admittedly went in his joint account with Dhiraj Singh, FIR lodged in the year 2022, whereafter in the year 2023, he transferred the same land to someone for a consideration amount of Rs.53,33,000/-. Certainty, he has played fraud in the matter.

9. The bail application stands rejected. As the petitioner is in custody earlier from 13.10.2023 to 03.07.2024 and thereafter after avoiding arrest, once the provisional bail application was cancelled, from 19.05.2025 till date, the Trial Court is requested to conclude the trial in next six months.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

