IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59592 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010863052026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-320 Year-2026 Thana- RAJNAGAR District- Madhubani

==========

1. Rinki Devi @ Rinku Devi D/o Jageshwar Sah R/o Village- Karahiya, Ward No. -11, P.S.- Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.
2. Geeta Devi Wife of Jageshwar Sah R/o Village- Karahiya, Ward No. -11, P.S.- Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Alias Ashok Karn, Adv.
For the Informant : Mr. Gagan deo Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kant Singh, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE GIRIJISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 29-10-2026 Heard Mr. Bimal Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State as well as Mr. Gagan deo Yadav, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners seek regular bail in connection with Rajnagar P.S. Case No. 320 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 117(2), 103(1), and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 25.06.2026, the informant, Sachchidanand Chaudhary, stated before the police that he had taken his wife to B.N. College for her examination

WEB COPY

and, upon returning home at about 2:00 PM, found his father crying with injuries, including an injury to his chest, and also found his mother injured on her left arm. On enquiry, his mother disclosed that a dispute had arisen over the unloading of wood from a tempo parked in front of the house, during which the accused persons, including Jageshwar Sah, Pintu Sah, Rahul Kumar Sah, Rinki Devi and Geeta Devi, allegedly assaulted his father with bricks and stones, causing severe chest pain. The informant alleged that his father died while being taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent, committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is no specific allegation levelled against the petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioners. He next submits that petitioner No. 1, Rinki Devi @ Rinku Devi and petitioner No. 2, Geeta Devi both are lady. He also submits that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent and have been languishing in judicial custody since 26.06.2026. He next submits that charge sheet has already been filed also charge has been framed against the petitioners therefore, there is no chance to tamper with the evidence or influence the witnesses.

WEB COPY

Therefore, petitioners deserve the privilege of regular bail.

5. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners and submits that the allegation of assault against the petitioners and other co-accused persons are serious in nature in the said incident. He further submits that one person has died because of the injury in the said incident. He next submits that wife of the deceased is eye witness and she is also sustaining injury. Therefore, the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of regular bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as case diary and also the fact that no specific allegation of assault has been attributed to the petitioners in the FIR, this Court has also taken into consideration the period of custody of the petitioners and petitioners being lady, coupled with the fact that charge sheet has already been filed and charge has already been framed against the petitioners therefore, there is no chance to tamper with the evidence or influence the witnesses, let the above named petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.- 1st ,

WEB COPY

Madhubani in connection with Rajnagar P.S. Case No. 320 of 2026, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Girijish Kumar, J)

nitu/-

U		T	

WEB COPY