

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59102 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-90 Year-2024 Thana- CHERIYA BARIYARPUR District-  
Begusarai

=====

Raunak Kumar S/o Lalan Singh R/o Vill- Cheriabariyarpur, P.S.-  
Cheriabariyarpur, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashish Ranjan, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Asha Kumari, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      25-02-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 385 and 387 of the Indian  
Penal Code as well as Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has antecedents of five cases and the informant  
alleges that on 27.04.2024 at about 08:48 p.m., he received a  
call from an unknown person who disclosed his name as Raunak  
Sardar and the person demanded ransom of Rs.10,00,000/- till  
01.05.2024 with a threat that if the demand is not met, the  
consequences would be bad. Further, the said person also sent  
message on Whatsapp demanding Rs.10,00,000/-. It is next



alleged that petitioner runs a general store at the road side on SH-55 and brings articles from Begusarai by bus and thus apprehends that any untoward occurrence may take place with him on the way.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that it does not appear probable that had the petitioner been demanding ransom, in that event, he would have disclosed his name or would have made call or sent message from his Whatsapp. It is also submitted that during course of investigation, it transpired that the mobile number from which the message was sent belongs to a person residing in West Bengal as recorded at para-107 of the case diary. It is further submitted that since petitioner has antecedents of five cases, as such, he came to be implicated at the behest of the police. It is further submitted that petitioner is in custody since 05.09.2024 but then till date even charges have not been framed.

5. The learned APP opposes the bail application and submits that in the event if privilege of bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond as charges, till date, have not been framed as submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.



6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is hereby rejected in connection with Cheriabariyarpur P.S. Case No.90 of 2024, pending in the court of learned A.C.J.M.-1<sup>st</sup>, Manjhaul.

7. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

*Sanjay/-*

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

