

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60458 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1583 Year-2023 Thana- EAST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- East Champaran

=====

Bhanu Ram Son of Ganesh Ram R/o Village - Khora, P.S. - Kesariya, East Champaran, Bihar, presently residing at House No. 779, Basti Mittu, P.O. - Basti Guzan, Dist. - Jalandhar, Punjab.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Meena Devi Wife of Bhanu Ram, D/o Ramdev Ram R/o Village - Navanagar, P.S. - Sahebganj, Dist. - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar Pankaj, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 21-04-2026 Heard Mr. Vikash Kumar Pankaj, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the complainant /Opposite Party No. 2, apprehends his arrest in a complaint case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498A, 323 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.



3. Earlier, vide order dated 19.11.2025, the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patna High Court. From perusal of mediator's report dated 26.02.2026 it is apparent that despite best efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation.

4. As per prosecution case, marriage of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with this petitioner on 21.06.1997 and thereafter, this petitioner took the complainant to *Jalandhar* and committed torture and harassment with her. It is further alleged that this petitioner had affair with his sister-in-law and has already solemnized second marriage with her.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner denies the allegations made in the complaint petition. Petitioner never committed torture to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner undertakes to give Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2



have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give temporary relief/solace of ***Rs. 3,000/- (three thousand rupees) per month to complainant/Opposite Party No. 2***, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate- 1st Class, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Complaint Case No. 1583(C) of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. with further following conditions:

“(A.) The Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(B.) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid amount per month in the saving bank account of the Complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 .

(C.) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bond.

(D.) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or



connected proceedings. The present order, in no way,
will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

