IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59826 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010856992026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2025 Thana- ROHTAS District- Rohtas

==========

1. Durga Kumari @ Durga Devi W/o Sudama Kumar @ Sudama Chaudhary Resident of Village- Jamua, PS- Rohtas, District - Rohtas
2. Sudama Kumar @ Sudama Chaudhary S/o Ramji Chaudhary Resident of Village- Jamua, P.S.- Rohtas, Dist.- Rohtas

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siddharth Harsh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Shaheen Begum, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

4 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No. 186 of 2025 lodged on 04.09.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 80(2) & 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, FIR has been lodged against 13 named accused persons other than the petitioners. It has been alleged by the informant that marriage of her daughter was solemnized with co-accused Suraj Munda and there was a continuous demand of dowry made by his family members and

WEB COPY

relatives. It has also been alleged that husband of the deceased along with his friend (petitioner no.2) and others have killed the daughter of the informant by strangulation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. He submits that the petitioners have been made accused in this case unnecessarily. Counsel submits that petitioner no. 2 and the husband of the deceased were working together and only due to this reason, petitioner has been made accused in this case. He further submits that petitioner no. 1 is the wife of petitioner no. 2 and they are only strangers for the family and they have nothing to do with the internal affairs of the deceased and her husband. Counsel further submits that the petitioners have clean antecedent and they are ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon them.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners but fairly submits that in the case diary, it has come that husband of the deceased had relation with the petitioner no. 1 and when the deceased received information in this regard, then on the next day, her dead body was found in the room.

6. In the present facts and circumstances of the case

WEB COPY

considering that the petitioners are strangers, let the above-named petitioners be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) each as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of J.M. 1st Class, Dehri, Rohtas, in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No. 186 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY