IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59661 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010855832026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Lakhisarai

==========

Mithun Ram S/o Bagho Ram R/o Village - Manjhali, P.S - Sultanganj, District - Bhagalpur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Veena Kumari D/o Visho Ram R/o Village -Dogay, P.S - Amhara, District - Lakhisarai

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with Lakhisarai Mahila P.S. Case No. 62 of 2025 lodged on 03.09.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 85, 82, 352 & 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

3. As per the prosecution case, FIR has been lodged against five named accused persons including the present petitioner. It has been alleged by the informant that her marriage was solemnized with the petitioner but thereafter, the petitioner along with his family members have abused her in the name of

WEB COPY

demand of dowry. The accused persons have also assaulted the informant due to which, she suffered a miscarriage. Thereafter, the informant came to know that her husband (petitioner) has solemnized second marriage and it is due to this reason, the present case has been lodged against the petitioner and his family members.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that petitioner is the husband of the informant and he has been falsely implicated in this case and allegation of assault is also not correct. Counsel also submits that story of second marriage is out and out false. He further submits that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail. Counsel submits that petitioner is ready to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) per month in the bank account of his wife (informant).

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner but fairly submits that bail may be granted to the petitioner if he is ready to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) per month in the bank account of his wife (informant).

6. In the present facts and circumstances of the case,

WEB COPY

let the above-named petitioner be released on bail subject to payment of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) per month in the bank account of his wife (informant) who shall provide her bank account details before the trial court, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Lakhisarai, in connection with Lakhisarai Mahila P.S. Case No. 62 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

7. It is made clear that if petitioner fails to pay the said monthly amount of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) in his wife's bank account for two consecutive months, then the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY