IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59355 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010850132026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-595 Year-2026 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

==========

Ravikant Kumar @ Ravikant S/o Rambabu Singh R/o Village Muradpur Bharat, Bochaha, PS- Ahiyapur, District - Muzaffarpur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Ranjan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

4 29-10-2026 Heard Mr. Vinay Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, learned APP.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 595 of 2026 for the offence under sections 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and section 27 of the Arms Act lodged on 25.03.2026 by the informant, Siya Janki Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the lady alleged that her husband has been killed by an unknown person. This led to the FIR.

4. After the FIR was lodged, the investigation took place and Amardeep Kumar was picked up, he spilled the

WEB COPY

beans. According to the confessional statement, Amardeep kumar had friendship with Mithlesh Sahni and Sunil Kumar and they used to do some small criminal act for their financial activities. In the meantime, this petitioner, Ravikant Kumar met Mithlesh Sahni and informed that he has land dispute with his agnate (deceased) and want him to be eliminated. The deal was of Rs. 5,00,000/- and the photographs were made available to them.

5. On the fateful day, this petitioner informed him that the victim is in his agricultural land and soon will return to his home. Accordingly, they moved and at a lonely place, shot on his head followed by on his back and this resulted into his death. Subsequently, he was provided Rs. 1,10,000/- by Mithlesh Sahni whereafter he moved to his sister's place.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the confessional statement made before the Police has got no meaning, this petitioner has no criminal antecedent and has been falsely implicated.

7. Learned State Counsel opposes the prayer submitting that he is the creator of the entire story and wanted to eliminate his agnate for which a huge payment was made.

8. Considering the submission of the parties as also

WEB COPY

the role the petitioner has played as recorded above, no relief can be extended.

9. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

ankita/-

U		T	

WEB COPY