

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67853 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1888 Year-2022 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE -
MUZAFFARPUR(WEST) District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Ajay Ray @ Ajay Kumar S/O Bhulan Ray R/o Village- Khedarpura, P.O.
Daudnagar, P.S. and District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rinku Kumari W/O Ajay Ray, D/O Harendra Ray R/O Village-
Mahammadpur, P.S- Paroo, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

4 17-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State. Despite valid service of notice, there is no
appearance on behalf of the opposite party no.2 in the present case.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341,
354, 379, 504, 120(B), 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The case is one under Section 498A of the I.P.C.
with the allegation of demand of Rs.5 lakh for marriage of the girl
child and two lakh for business of husband.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
marriage is of the year 2009 and the present case came to be
lodged in the year 2022 by way of present complaint case with
false allegations. It has specifically been submitted that it is rather
the petitioner who, on account of torture having been inflicted by
his wife, has lost his mental stability and is also undergoing



treatment for the same at different places, the prescriptions of which have been brought on record by way of Annexure-P/2.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail on the basis of allegations made in the First Information Report.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the case is one under Section 498A of I.P.C. and the petitioner denies the allegations of demand of dowry and torture and there is no chance of the petitioner absconding or tampering with the evidence, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 1888 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

