IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58608 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010846312026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-49 Year-2026 Thana- BATH District- Bhagalpur

==========

Aman Kumar S/o Sri Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Ray @ Sunil Rai Reasident of village- Fardah Tola, Ravi Ray, PS- Safiyasarai, District- Munger

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate
		Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sashi Saurabh, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH

ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. on behalf of the State.

2. This application is filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner who has been made accused in connection with Bath P.S. Case No. 49 of 2026, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 351(2) of the B.N.S. and Sections 66(E) of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the prosecution, it is alleged by the informant that his daughter, aged about 20 years, was studying at Bhagalpur and came in contact with the petitioner. On 31.03.2026, the accused allegedly visited his relative’s house at

WEB COPY

Nayagaon and physically exploited the informant's daughter on the pretext of marriage. It is alleged that the accused created obscene photographs and videos to blackmail the informant's daughter and threatened her to make the photographs and videos viral on social media and also threatened to kill her through mobile calls and WhatsApp messages.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged in the FIR and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to dirty village politics and there is no specific allegation of physical relationship mentioned in the FIR.

5. The petitioner has denied allegation regarding the obscene photographs and videos and it is submitted that the alleged mobile number is not connected with the petitioner. It is also submitted that no incriminating material has been recovered from the mobile phone of the petitioner. It is further submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the pen drive was not sent for expert examination.

6. A report was called from the Court below regarding the present stage of trial and vide Letter No. 157 dated 08.10.2026, the trial Court had stated that charges have already been framed and summonses have already been issued to the

WEB COPY

witnesses for evidence and examination and there are total six witnesses named in the charge-sheet. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 11.05.2026 having clean antecedent.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody and also taking into consideration the materials available on record, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand rupees) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Bhagalpur in connection with Bath P.S. Case No. 49 of 2026 on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors should be family member of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner will cooperate in the trial and will remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through his counsel and whenever required to be physically present by the Court, he should physically appear in Court on that date.

WEB COPY

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or threatens the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

Ritwika/-

U		T	

WEB COPY