

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60126 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2023 Thana- KOTWALI District- Patna

Subhash Chandra @ Subhash Chandravanshi @ Subhash Chandra bos @
Gard Saheb S/o Rajo Prasad, Resident of Village- Saguni, PS- Masaurhi,
Distt.- Patna, Bihar, Presently residing at Sitjain Chak, P.S.- Gopalpur, Distt.-
Patna, Bihar-800007. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajni Kant Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 13-01-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kotwali
P.S. Case No. 147 of 2023 registered for the offence under
Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 & 471 of the Indian Penal Code,
1860.

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is
in custody since 11.05.2025.

4. As per FIR, petitioner cheated two apprehended co-
accused persons for Rs. 10,000/- on pretext of providing job with
Patna High Court.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submitted that the entire basis of implication appears on the basis
of disclosure statement of apprehended co-accused, namely



Sharwan Kumar and Sintu Kumar, on the basis of which, it appears that the petitioner was introduced with the vendor Asks e-Governance Services, which was working with the Digitization Cell of Patna High Court. It is pointed out that these statement, nowhere suggest on its face that petitioner offered any employment with Patna High Court directly against cash. It is pointed out that as this petitioner introduced both co-accused with vendor as they were in search of employment, the present false implication was raised.

6. Arguing further, it is submitted that the allegation *prima facie*, nowhere suggest that it is a case of cheating and the persons, who appears to cheated were made co-accused in present case i.e Sarvan Kumar and Sintu Kumar and, moreover, there is no allegation against petitioner to create any forged document in terms of the disclosure of the co-accused. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Explaining criminal antecedent, it is submitted that petitioner found involved in one more criminal case of similar nature, where he is on bail.

7. Learned APP while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that this petitioner was named by both accused but it is



conceded that as per their disclosure, the petitioner appears introduced them with vendor of Ask e-Governance Services.

8. Considering the aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as *prima facie* the allegation not appears convincing *qua* ingredients of cheating and impersonation, where *prima facie* the allegation to create forged document not appears available against petitioner, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 11.05.2025, accordingly, petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 147 of 2023, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-XVII, Patna/concerned Court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C./Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

