

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.3281 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2025 Thana- SC/ST District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

-
1. Satyendra Bind S/o Mahendra Bind Residents of Village- Sirbit, P.S. Chainpur, District-Kaimur at Bhabua
 2. Ravi Bind S/o Vijay Bind Residents of Village- Sirbit, P.S. Chainpur, District-Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ashok Gond S/o Late Kharu Gond R/o vill- Sirbit, P.S.- Chainpur, Distt.- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Uday Pratap Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sadanand Paswan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

7 01-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Spl. P.P. for the State, Sri Sadanand Paswan.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 16-7-2025 in A.B.P. No. 1272 of 2025 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Kaimur at Bhabua in connection with SC/ST Bhabua P.S. Case No.18/2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections Sections 329(4), 191(2), 190, 126(2),



115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of the BNS as well as Sections 3(1)(r)(s), 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST Act.

3. Learned Spl PP submits that in compliance of the order dated 11-11-2025, the concerned Superintendent of Police was requested to inform the informant of the instant case through the concerned PS to remain present before this Court on the next date i.e., 5-1-2026. It is next submitted that informant has been duly informed, but then chooses not to appear and contest.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellant no. 1 has antecedent of one case and appellant no. 2 is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 19.04.2025 in the night, all of a sudden, the accused persons including the appellants came and on order of Lalu Bind, who was carrying gun in his hand, the accused persons started assaulting and Wakil Bind assaulted him by hockey stick on head causing injury, thereafter Ravi Bind assaulted Dipu by hockey stick causing injury on head and when Guddu Gond came to save them, appellant no. 1 assaulted him by rod causing injury on head, thereafter the police was informed and the accused fled.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the



appellants have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that though informant alleges that appellant no. 1 assaulted Guddu by rod causing injury on head, but then from perusal of the injury report of Guddu, it would manifest that he suffered one injury on his left leg and one injury on his left hand and the injury has been opined to be simple in nature as such the allegation as alleged in the FIR gets belied by the injury report. It is further submitted that no specific allegation of assault is alleged against the appellant no. 2. It is also submitted that Pankaj Bind and four others had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 2467 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 24-12-2025. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the entire occurrence took place at the house of the informant, thus was not in public view.

6. Learned Spl. P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellants, but after perusing the case diary fairly submits that the injury suffered by Guddu is on left leg and left hand.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellants above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a



period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

8. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the appeal stands allowed with respect to the appellants.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

