IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62210 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010841782026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-81 Year-2026 Thana- SHAHKUND District- Bhagalpur

==========

Madan Mandal Son of Saudagar Mandal Resident of Village - Simariya, Police Station - Rangra, District - Naugachia (Bhagalpur)

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 29-10-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Shahkund P.S. Case No. 81 of 2026, lodged on 04/04/2026, for the offence punishable under Section 309(6) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and under Sections 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act, 1959 was added, pending before the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Naugachia, Bhagalpur.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against unknown accused persons with allegation that the accused persons have looted Rs.8,10,000/- cash from the informant.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel

WEB COPY

further submits that name of the petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has come in this case during the course of investigation on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused. Counsel further submits that nothing was recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Counsel further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean as there are six criminal cases pending against him and in four cases he is on bail and in two cases he has been acquitted and in the present case he is in custody since 16/04/2026.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean as there are six criminal cases pending against him and in four cases he is on bail and in two cases he has been acquitted and in the present case.

6. Considering the period of custody and antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present bail application is hereby rejected **at present**.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Anshuman/-

U		T	

WEB COPY