

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58041 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2025 Thana- Shahpur P.S. District- Nawada

=====

Pramod Paswan Son of Dasrath Paswan Resident of Village- Parwati, P.S.-
Sahpur, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Shahpur P.S. Case No. 55 of 2025 instituted for the
offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2),
317(5), 111, 61(b) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and
Sections 66(B), 66(C), 66(D) of the IT Act. .

3. Prosecution story, in short, is that, police, on the
basis of secret information that 6-7 miscreants are indulged in
cheating the innocent people, raided the place and apprehended
three accused persons. It is further alleged that the apprehended
persons disclosed that they cheat innocent people in the name of
Bajaj Finance Company to provide loan at cheap rates. It is



further alleged that police recovered mobile phones and customer data sheet from the apprehended accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner allegedly fled away from the spot. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the house of Tulsi Paswan. Petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery of data sheets. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 08.09.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 61656 of 2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Referring to the impugned order, it is submitted that apprehended co-accused person in their confessional statement stated that petitioner used to hire them on percentage basis and



promised to part 35% of the illegal income to them and used to keep 65% with him.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and material available on record, this Court is not inclined to enlarge anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is hereby *rejected*.

7. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the court below within a period of four weeks from today and prays for regular bail, the same would be considered by the court below in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

